

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/040,382	01/09/2002	Tomoo Ooishi	U2054.0138/P138	2087	
32172	7590 09/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SHAPIRO MORIN	WANG, LIANG-CHE			
41 ST FL.	JE OF THE AMERICAS	S (61H AVENUE)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10036-2714		2155		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/040,382	ооіѕні, томоо					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Liang-che Alex Wang	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		. final rejection, which are	orio lotor In no				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
							Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set to	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(а).				
	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendr	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	cnea.				

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) MEH NAJJAR PRIMARY EXAMINER



13. Other: ____

See Continuation Sheet.

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues there is no teaching that they indicate that voice data exists that corresponding to contents. This is not found persuasive, because Uppaluru teaches in Col 8 lines 25-30, voice prompts are the voice data for explaining an outline of the content. Voice prompts must explain the content to receive user's feedback. The examiner maintains his rejections to claims 1-6.

Lul